2020 CASDA Summit Proposal Evaluation Rubric

Proposal ID#_____   Reviewer: _____

Directions: Please use the rubric below to rate the evaluation criteria on a scale of 1 (poor) to 5 (excellent). Please provide a total score and recommendation.

Evaluation Criteria	Poor (1 point)	Fair (2 points)	Good (3 points)	Very Good (4 points)	Excellent (5 points)	Score
Clear Objectives	Objectives are not clearly stated	Objectives are stated in general terms but lack detail	Objectives are stated in detail but lack focus	Objectives are clear	Objectives are very clear	
Alignment with Summit theme	Proposal is not aligned to the Summit theme	Proposal has little alignment to the Summit theme	Proposal has some alignment to Summit theme	Proposal is aligned to Summit theme	Proposal is strongly aligned to Summit theme	
Importance/ Relevance	Subject is not relevant in the current policy environment	Subject is slightly relevant in the current policy environment	Subject is somewhat relevant in the current policy environment	Subject is very relevant in the current policy environment	Subject is crucial in the current policy environment	
Impact and Practical Implications of Presentation	This will not add to the conversation at the Summit	This may add to the conversation at the Summit	This will add to the conversation at the Summit	This will change the conversation at the Summit	This has the potential to transform the conversation at the Summit	
Original	Proposal is not original (repeat content from previous Summits)	Proposal is somewhat original	Proposal is generally original	Proposal is original	Proposal is highly original (none of it has been seen in previous Summits)	
Format	Length and content are inappropriate for session type	Length and content may be appropriate for session type	Length and content are generally appropriate for session type	Length and content are appropriate for the session type	Length and content are very appropriate for session type	

Presenter identifies primarily as: [ ] autistic   [ ] caregiver   [ ] practitioner/clinician   [ ] researcher   [ ] other

Overall Score: _____

Recommendation:

      A)   Reviewer Recommends: _____   B) Reviewer DOES NOT recommend: _____

      C) Reviewer Recommends-Revise and Resubmit*: _____

*Suggestions for revision:

_____

_____

_____

_____

      Recommended format (if changed): ____Workshop   ____Short Talk   ____Poster